

**REMARKS**

The application has been amended to overcome the examiner's objections and rejections.

Claims 1 and 3-5 were objected to because of the following informalities which have been corrected as required by the examiner to overcome the objections:

In claim 1, lines 6, 9, 11, 12, and 15, the examiner's requirement was to delete "a" and insert --the-- before "door".

In claim 1, line 10, the examiner's requirement was to delete "a" and insert --the-- before "door".

In claim 1, lines 11-13, the examiner's requirement was to delete "an" and insert --the-- before "edge of".

In claim 1, lines 12 and 13, the examiner's requirement was to delete "a" and insert --the-- after "edge of".

In claims 3-5, line 3, the examiner's requirement was to delete "a" and insert --the-- before "door".

Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite because there was insufficient antecedent basis for "the fixture holes" in claim 1, line 9. The phrase "the fixture holes" has been amended to -- the configuration of screw holes of the door mounted fixture --, thereby overcoming the 112 rejection.

Claims 1 and 3-6 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brydon in view of Allan et al.

Claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brydon in view of Allan et al. and further in view of Monta et al.

Claim 1 was amended to claim "a square U shaped three sided channel comprising two opposing parallel spaced mating sides, each of the parallel spaced mating sides being sufficiently thin and flat for fitting in flat contact with one of two opposing sides of a door between the door and a door frame with the door closed, and an interconnecting top orthogonal to both sides, the interconnecting top being sufficiently thin and flat for fitting in flat contact with an edge of a door between the door and a door frame with the door closed, the channel further comprising at least one mating configuration of spaced pencil-point-size marking holes on each of the two opposing sides of the channel, the marking holes only large enough for a pencil point, the marking holes only usable for marking and not usable for drilling to insure an extended life of the template device which can be used over and over for marking doors, each of the at least one mating configuration of holes corresponding to a configuration of screw holes in a door mounted fixture to be attached to the door by screw-type attaching means through the configuration of screw holes of the door mounted fixture into a configuration of drill holes marked by the use of the template device but not drilled through the template device to form a configuration of drill hole positions on the door for subsequent drilling, the configuration of marked drill hole positions markable with the channel straddling the edge of the door with the two opposing sides positioned over a portion of a front and back side of the door and the top over the edge of the door, the template positioned a measured distance along the edge of the door and used for marking drill hole positions in the configuration of the pencil-point-size marking holes on either or both sides of the door with the door in an open or closed position so that the marked drill hole positions for

drilling will line up properly with any mating fixture parts or hardware attached to the frame.”

None of the prior art taken singly or together provides a marking template device formed by a square U shaped channel with sides and top sufficiently thin and flat so that the device fits over an edge and both sides of the door with the door in a closed position to insure alignment with fixtures or hardware on the door frame, the marking template having set configurations of pencil point size holes for marking which do not permit drilling through the holes to prolong the life of the template device for using over and over again to form a configuration of marked drill hole positions in the door for subsequent drilling after removal of the template device. Therefore the rejections and objections are seen to be overcome and amended claim 1 is seen to be allowable.

Claims 2-6 depend upon and further limit claim 1, now seen allowable, therefore claims 2-6 are also seen to be allowable.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, claims 1-6 are seen to be allowable. Reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-6 is respectfully requested.

A Petition to Revive an Abandoned Application Fee of \$750 and the Petition to Revive are attached. No additional fee is seen to be due.

Respectfully submitted,



Donald W. Meeker  
Patent Agent of Record  
Registration No. 28,792  
Telephone (949) 675-6296